

Reviewing rules

1. The publication mandatorily reviews all submissions to the editorial staff (which are in line with [Article submission rules](#)) in order to undertake competent assessment.
2. The journal practices a three-step review pattern as follows:
 - a primary open review;
 - an unilateral anonymous (“blind”) review.
3. The decision to publish an article in a particular issue shall be taken by the editor-in-chief and managing editor.
4. Reviewers may include members of the editorial board / editorial panel or external renowned professionals in the subject of submissions to be reviewed. The requirements to external reviewers (except academic status) are as follows:
 - published papers for the last 5 years in the subject of the article to be reviewed;
 - registration in the National Electronic Library [elibrary.ru](#) (availability of SPIN code) and/or in [ORCID](#) in order to give publicity to the scholar’s current status;
 - lack of affiliation.
5. Reviews shall be retained by publication’s editorial office for a period of 5 years. The review made in compliance with the open review pattern may be posted in the journal’s web-site and/or in [elibrary.ru](#) according to a decision taken by the editorial staff.
6. The articles accepted for printing shall be published within six months of the approval (satisfactory conclusion) and those returned for revision – of the time the criticisms are met.
7. The publication’s editorial staff may forward copies of reviews of submissions or motivated refusal to their authors and undertakes to forward copies of reviews to the RF Ministry for Education and Science, if a respective request is received by the publication editorial staff.